In re: BETTY MOREY a/k/a BETTY FARAONE. AWA Docket No. 02-0012. Decision and Order. Filed August 14, 2002.

AWA - Default - Failure to obtain license.

Brian T. Hill, for Complainant. Respondent, Pro se. Decision and Order issued by James W. Hunt, Administrative Law Judge.

# **Preliminary Statement**

This proceeding was instituted under the Animal Welfare Act ("Act"), as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2131 et seq.), by a complaint filed by the Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, United States Department of Agriculture, alleging that the respondent willfully violated the Act and the regulations and standards issued pursuant to the Act (9 C.F.R. § 1.1 et seq.).

Copies of the complaint and the Rules of Practice governing proceedings under the Act, 7

C.F.R. §§ 1.130-1.151, were served via certified mail by the Hearing Clerk on Betty Morey on February 27, 2002. The respondent was informed in the letter of service that an answer should be filed pursuant to the Rules of Practice and that failure to answer any allegation in the complaint would constitute an admission of that allegation. Respondent has failed to file an answer within the time prescribed in the Rules of Practice, and the material facts alleged in the complaint, which are admitted as set forth herein by respondents' failure to file an answer, are adopted and set forth herein as Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

This decision and order, therefore, is issued pursuant to section 1.139 of the Rules of Practice, 7 C.F.R. § 1.139.

# Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

I

Betty Morey, a/k/a Betty Faraone, hereinafter referred to as respondent, is an individual, whose address is Route 1, Box 96-A, Greenville, Missouri 63922.

H

On or about March 29, 1999 and continuing through December 28, 2000,

# ANIMAL WELFARE ACT

respondent operated as a dealer as defined in the Act and the regulations, without being licensed, in willful violation of section 2.1(a)(1) of the regulations (9 C.F.R. § 2.1(a)(1)). Respondent sold, in commerce, at least 33 dogs for resale for use as pets. The sale of each animal constitutes a separate violation.

# Conclusions

- 1. The Secretary has jurisdiction in this matter.
- 2. The following Order is authorized by the Act and warranted under the circumstances.

### Order

- 1. Respondent, her agents and employees, successors and assigns, directly or through any corporate or other device, shall cease and desist from violating the Act and the regulations and standards issued thereunder, and in particular, shall cease and desist from:
  - (a) Operating as a dealer as defined in the Act and the regulations, without being licensed.
- 2. The respondent is assessed a civil penalty of \$3,575.00, which shall be paid by a certified check or money order made payable to the Treasurer of United States.
- 3. Respondent is disqualified from obtaining a license for a period of one year and continuing thereafter until she demonstrates to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service that she is in full compliance with the Act, the regulations and standards issued thereunder, and this order, including payment of the civil penalty imposed herein.

The provisions of this order shall become effective on the first day after this decision becomes final.

Pursuant to the Rules of Practice, this decision becomes final without further proceedings 35 days after service as provided in section 1.142 and 1.145 of the Rules of Practice, 7 C.F.R. §§ 1.142 and 1.145.

Copies of this decision shall be served upon the parties.

[This Decision and Order became final and effective on November 4, 2002.-Editor]

\_\_\_\_\_